

No. 9(1)81-6Lab.14825—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Government of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Manager, Rajeshwar Degree College, Chick Mohalla, Ambala Cantt.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT
HARYANA ROHTAK.

Reference No. 34 of 80

between

SHRI R. K. MISHRA, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S MANAGER,
RAJESHWAR DEGREE COLLEGE, CHICK MOHALLA, AMBALA CANTT.

Present:

Shri S. C. Chawla, for the workman.
No one, for the management.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor,—vide his order No. ID/9712, dated 25th February, 1980 under section 10(i) (c) of the I. D. Act for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri R. K. Mishra, workman and the management of M/s Rajeshwar Degree College, Ambala Cantt. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri R. K. Mishra was Justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On the receipt of the order of reference notices as usual were sent to the parties. The workman appeared in response to the notice on 27th May, 1980 but no one appeared on behalf of the management. The management was issued an other notice. Both the parties appeared on 21st July, 1980. No one appeared from either side on several dates of hearing despite repeated notices to them. The management was proceeded against *ex parte* on 24th August, 1981. The *ex parte* statement of Shri R. K. Mishra workman was recorded on 15th September, 1981. In the later part of his statement the workman has stated that he is not interested in his rein statement and his only demand is for Rs3,300 which is due to him under an agreement. He has further stated that retired from government service in the year 1961 and it was 21 years since his retirement. The workman has not produced any agreement under which he is claiming the amount. Under the circumstances the workman is not entitled to any relief either of reinstatement or of backwages. The reference is answered and returned accordingly.

Dated: 10th December, 1981

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 4244 Dated 14th December, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the I. D. Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 9(1)81-6Lab./14854.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s S. J. Knitting and Finishing Mills, 13/9, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER,
LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 19 of 1981

Between

SHRI DEV NATH RAM WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S J. K.
KNITTING AND FINISHING MILLS, 13/9, MATHURA ROAD,
FARIDABAD.

Present:

Shri H. P. Singh, for the workman.
Shri B. R. Grover, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 19 of 1981 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/249-80/65207, dated 26th December, 1980 under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Dev Nath Ram workman and the management of M/s S. J. Knitting and Finishing Mills, 13/9, Mathura Road, Faridabad. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Dev Nath Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

After receiving this reference, notices were sent to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed on 27th July, 1981. On 18th November, 1981 the date fixed in the case, the representative of the workman made a statement in this Court that he had no instructions from the workman. So he does not want to pursue his case. In view of the above statement of the representative of the workman, I feel that neither the workman nor his representative is interested to pursue this reference. So I hold that there is no dispute between the parties and reference is bad in law. No order as to costs. I give my award accordingly.

Dated the 2nd December, 1981.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad,

Endst. No. 3421, dated the 11th December, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledge with in week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 9(1)81-6Lab/14863.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. New India Dying and Finishing Mills, 14/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad :—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 251 of 1981

between

SHRI CHANDER DEV, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT MANAGEMENT OF M/S.
NEW INDIA DYING AND FINISHING MILLS, 14/5, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD.

Shri Hoob Lal for the workman.

Shri G. S. Chaudhry, for the respondent management.

AWARD

This reference No. 251 of 1981 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/160/81/48546, dated 25th June, 1981, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, existing between Shri Chander Dev and the respondent Management of M/s. New India Dying and Finishing Mills, 14/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad. The terms of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Chander Dev was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

After receiving this reference, notice were sent to the parties for 21st October, 1981. On 21st October, 1981 both the parties appeared and filed their pleadings. But on 18th November, 1981 Shri Hoob Lal, authorised representative of the workman stated that the workman has joined his duty, so he did not want to contest this reference. This statement of the representative of the workman was also agreed by the representative of the respondent Shri G. S. Chaudhry and stated that there is no dispute between the parties.

In the light of the above statement made by the parties, I hold that demand raised by the workman against the management has been duly settled. There is now no dispute remains to be adjudicated between the parties. No order as to costs.

This be read in answer to this reference,

Dated the 9th December, 1981.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 3409, dated the 11th December, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad.

The 20th January, 1982

No. 9(1)81-6Lab 14982.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Hissar Textiles Mills, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Arbitration Reference No. 2 of 1977

between

THE WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HISSAR TEXTILES
MILLS, HISSAR.

Present :—

Shri Sagar Ram Gupta, for the workman.
Shri N.K. Garg for the management.

AWARD

On the receipt of the order of reference notices as usual were sent to the parties. The parties appeared in response to the notices sent to them. The time limit for making the arbitration award was extended with the consent of the parties up to 8th July, 1978. The arbitration could not be concluded within the extended period. There is order of my learned predecessor, dated the 13th October, 1978 which he had passed after hearing the parties that Shri M. L. Jain was appointed Arbitrator and not the presiding Officer of the Labour Court and the arbitration agreement had expired by efflux of time and same became infructuous. the award is made in the above terms and the reference is answered and returned accordingly.

Dated 12th December, 1981

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 4320 dated 17th December, 1982

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.